



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMAIKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,397	01/26/2001	Karlheinz Drauz	201554US0X	4705
22850	7590 12/17/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER	
FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			KIM, SUN U	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	\bigcirc
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

211

Application No.
09/769,397

Applicant(s)

Drauz et al.

Office I	Action	Summary
----------	--------	---------

Examiner

John Kim

Art Unit **1723**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 30, 2002 2a		The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a riphy be timely, 1800 dates 4 this communication. **It the priend for reply is specified below. The maximum statutory period will goely and will expert \$X. (B) MORT TST from the making date of the communication. **It the priend for reply is specified below. The maximum statutory period will goely and will expert \$X. (B) MORT TST from the making date of the communication. **It the priend for reply is specified below. The maximum statutory period will goely and will expert \$X. (B) MORT TST from the making date of the communication. **It the priend from the priend the priend of the priend the priend the priend of the priend	Period 1	for R ply					
melling date of the communication. If the period for reby is specified above is less than thirty [30] days, a reply within the stantatory menimum of them (30) days will be considered transly. If No period for reby is a specified above, the maximum stantatory period will apply and will seep \$30.18 MoNT/ISS from the making date of this communication. Any reby residented by the Office sheet that these months after the melling date of this communication. No period or reby is a specified above, the maximum stantary period will apply and will seep \$30.18 MoNT/ISS from the making date of this communication. No period or reby is a specified above, the maximum stantary period will apply and will see \$30.18 MoNT/ISS from the making date of this communication. No period or reby is a specified above, the maximum stantary period of the							
If the priend for raph, specified above a leve than thinty (30) days, a raph, vehicle to statistic price of the Communication (1) and the Communicat		·	no event, however,	may a reply b	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 30, 2002 2a	If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
This action is FINAL. 2b X This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	Status						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 30, 2	002		•		
Closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23	2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-fina	1.			
All Discovering to the above, claim(s) 9-23 is/are pending in the application.	3) 🗌	• •	•		•		
is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are allowed. is/are objected to. is/are objected to. is/are objected to papers	Disposi	tion of Claims					
Since Sinc	4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(a). Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(a	4	la) Of the above, claim(s) 9-23			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)	5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
Application Papers 9)	6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>			is/are rejected.		
Application Papers 9	7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
Application Papers 9	8) 🗆	Claims	ar	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
The drawing(s) filed on	Applica	tion Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
The proposed drawing correction filed on	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accept	ed or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be h	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	::a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office a	ction.			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	a) 🗆	a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).							
	_		4) Interview S	imman, (PT)	0.413) Paper No(s)		
				5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Art Unit: 1723

. 8

- 1. Applicant's election of claims 1-8 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 9-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse as treated above...
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The 3. International Journal of Artificial Organs, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1978, pages 112-113 (hereinafter referred to as Quarto di Palo et al) in view of the admitted prior art (see Table 5 on pages 9-10 of the specification). Quarto de Palo et al teach that amino acids were added to the dialysis solution in a concentration equal to that of normal plasma and treating patients with above concentrations of amino acids in normal plasma resulted in prevention of amino acid loss during hemodialysis, restoration of the pathologically decreased values and removal of the amino acids present in blood in increased concentrations (see page 112). Furthermore, Quarto de Palo et al teach that administering amino acids in the right proportions and at the most favorable time i.e. during dialysis, they expected a greater utilization of amino acids for protein synthesis than for energy purpose (see page 112). Claims 1-8 essentially differ from the dialyzer fluid comprising the claimed amino acid concentrations in its claimed proportions. Specification teaches that the amino acid concentrations occurring in the plasma of a healthy person are set forth in Table 5 of the pages 9-10 of the specification which are within the claimed amino acid concentrations in a

Art Unit: 1723

Page 3

dialyzer fluid as claimed in claims 7-8. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the dialyzer fluid of Quarto di Palo et al to arrive at the claimed proportions of amino acids in the dialyzer fluid with known information of the amino acid concentrations occurring in the plasma of a healthy person to provide the improved advantages in prevention of amino acid loss during hemodialysis, restoration of the pathologically decreased values and removal of the amino acids present in blood in increased concentrations.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1723

.,

5. Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of copending Application No. 09/399,743. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed compositions in the instant application

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

encompasses the claimed compositions in application no. 09/399,743.

- Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the dialysis fluid of Quarto di Palo et al are missing five of the amino acid components of the claimed composition i.e. Gln, Tyr, Cys, Asn and Cit and there is no suggestion to add these amino acids to the dialyzer fluid therein. However, adding the missing amino acids would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art with known information of the amino acid concentrations occurring in the plasma of a healthy person to provide the improved advantages in prevention of amino acid loss during hemodialysis, restoration of the pathologically decreased values and removal of the amino acids present in blood in increased concentrations as suggested by Quarto di Palo et al.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-2350. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:00 AM 3:00 PM.

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Wanda Walker, can be reached on (703) 308-0457. The fax phone number for official response

after final action is (703) 872-9311, and the fax phone number for all other official faxes is (703)

872-9310.

When sending a draft amendment by fax, please mark the paper as "DRAFT"; otherwise,

mark the paper "OFFICIAL". This will expedite the processing of the paper.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

J. Kim

December 12, 2002